

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

23917 7590 1000/2009 EXAMINER MOTOROLA, INC. BITAR, NANCY 1303 EAST ALGONQUIN ROAD BITAR, NANCY 1.01/3RD ART UNIT PAPER N CHAUMBURG, IL 60196 2624	JCATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
MOTOROLA, INC. 1303 EAST ALGONQUIN ROAD 1303 EAST ALGONQUIN ROAD SCHAUMBURG, IL 60196 ARTUSIT PAPER N 2624	10/567,735	07/26/2006	Ebroul Izquierdo	CML01371EV	5354
1303 EAST ALGONQUIN ROAD IL.01/3RD SCHAUMBURG, IL 60196 ART UNIT PAPER N 2624				EXAMINER	
SCHAUMBURG, IL 60196 ARTUNIT PAPER S 2624	1303 EAST ALGONQUIN ROAD			BITAR, NANCY	
		RG, IL 60196		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NOTIFICATION DATE DELIVER		,		2624	
NOTIFICATION DATE DELIVER					
				NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Docketing.US@motorola.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/567.735 IZQUIERDO, EBROUL Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit NANCY BITAR 2624 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) NANCY BITAR. (2) Valerie Davis (50203) . (4)____. Date of Interview: 30 December 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: . . Identification of prior art discussed: Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney representative showed the the IDS were found in pair and examiner fixed the IFW to include the IDS cited. Therefore, the IDS are considered . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. /Nancy Bitar/